


**DOCKET NO. HARR0018-100**

**PATENT**

**Application Serial No. 09/889,761**

**Supplemental Response to Restriction Requirement Dated December 28, 2004**

**Reply To Office Action Of October 19, 2004**



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**In Re application of: Peter F. Searle**

**Confirmation No. 9242**

**Serial No.: 09/889,761**

**Group Art Unit: 1639**

**Filing Date: November 6, 2001**

**Examiner: Teresa D. Wessendorf**

**Title: SELECTION PROCEDURE USING PRODRUG/ENZYME SYSTEM**

**Customer No.: 34139**

**EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO. EL964 552 522US**

**DATE OF DEPOSIT: December 28, 2004**

**MAIL STOP AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT DATED  
OCTOBER 19, 2004**

This supplemental paper is filed in response to the Office Action mailed October 19, 2004. A petition for a two-month extension of time and the appropriate fee accompany this response. A petition for one-month extension of time was filed on December 17, 2004, and the appropriate fee paid with the initial response to the Office Action mailed October 19, 2004. Accordingly, the one-month extension of time fee has been subtracted from the two-month extension of time fee for calculation of the fee due at the time of filing this supplemental paper.

**DOCKET NO. HARR0018-100**

**PATENT**

**Application Serial No. 09/889,761**

**Supplemental Response to Restriction Requirement Dated December 28, 2004**

**Reply To Office Action Of October 19, 2004**

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the Listing of the Claims which begins on page 3 of this paper.

**Remarks** begin on page 9 of this paper.

The **Conclusion** is found on page 10.